Advanced Engineering Systems and Computational Applications: Integrating Intelligent Modeling, Optimization, and Sustainable Technological Innovations

Dr. Michael J Anderson [1*], David R Bennett [2]

[1] Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, University of Michigan, USA

[2] Professor, School of Advanced Manufacturing and Design, University of Sheffield, United Kingdom

* Corresponding Author: **Dr. Michael J Anderson**

Article Info

ISSN (Online): 3107-6580
Impact Factor (RSIF): 8.23
Volume: 02
Issue: 02
Received: 09-01-2026
Accepted: 08-02-2026
Published: 07-03-2026
Page No: 32-38

Abstract

The convergence of advanced engineering systems with computational intelligence is reshaping the technological landscape, driving unprecedented capabilities in system design, analysis, and operational optimization. This article provides a comprehensive review of the integration of intelligent modeling frameworks, optimization methodologies, and sustainable technological innovations within contemporary engineering practice. Against the backdrop of Industry 4.0 and the pressing demands of environmental sustainability, the article examines how computational approaches—including machine learning, digital twins, and Multiphysics simulation—are enabling more sophisticated engineering solutions. Key frameworks such as data-driven modeling, hybrid-augmented intelligence, and neural operators are analyzed for their capacity to enhance predictive accuracy and computational efficiency. The role of optimization techniques, from classical methods to AI-based metaheuristics, is evaluated in terms of their contribution to sustainable engineering outcomes across sectors including manufacturing, energy systems, and infrastructure development. Particular attention is given to real-world applications where computational engineering frameworks facilitate sustainable innovations, such as smart grids, eco-efficient manufacturing, and intelligent transportation systems. The article concludes by identifying persistent challenges related to scalability, data integrity, and implementation barriers, while outlining future directions for research at the intersection of computational engineering and sustainability. This synthesis underscores the transformative potential of integrated computational approaches in advancing both engineering capability and environmental responsibility.

Keywords: Intelligent Modeling, Computational Optimization, Sustainable Engineering, Digital Twins, Industry 4.0, AI-Driven Simulation

1. Introduction

The evolution of advanced engineering systems has been characterized by increasing complexity, interconnectivity, and performance demands. Contemporary engineering challenges—from designing resilient urban infrastructure to optimizing renewable energy integration—require analytical frameworks capable of capturing multifaceted system behaviors while supporting decision-making under uncertainty [1, 2]. Computational applications have emerged as indispensable tools in this context, enabling engineers to model, simulate, and optimize systems with a precision and scale previously unattainable [3].

The paradigm of Industry 4.0 has accelerated this trajectory, embedding cyber-physical systems, Internet of Things connectivity, and real-time data analytics into the fabric of engineering practice [4]. Within this framework, intelligent modeling approaches—particularly those leveraging artificial intelligence—are transforming how engineering systems are conceptualized and operated. Machine learning algorithms can now learn complex system dynamics from data, while digital twin technologies create living digital replicas that synchronize with physical assets throughout their lifecycle [5, 6]. Simultaneously, the imperative of sustainability has become central to engineering innovation. Global challenges such as climate change, resource depletion, and environmental degradation demand engineering solutions that balance functional performance with ecological responsibility [7].

Computational methods offer powerful means to achieve this balance, enabling multi-objective optimization that considers environmental impact alongside traditional metrics of cost and efficiency [8].

This article aims to synthesize current knowledge at the intersection of intelligent modeling, optimization, and sustainable technological innovation within engineering systems. The scope encompasses computational frameworks that support engineering design and operation, with emphasis on methodological rigor and practical applicability. Following this introduction, the article examines intelligent modeling approaches, optimization strategies, and sustainable innovations before exploring industrial applications and future research directions.

2. Intelligent Modeling in Advanced Engineering Systems

2.1. Data-Driven Modeling Frameworks

The proliferation of sensor technologies and data acquisition systems has positioned data-driven modeling as a cornerstone of modern engineering analysis. Unlike traditional physics-based models that derive system behavior from first principles, data-driven approaches extract patterns and relationships directly from observational data [9]. This paradigm is particularly valuable when underlying physical mechanisms are incompletely understood or when computational costs of first-principles simulation are prohibitive.

Machine learning techniques—including artificial neural networks, support vector machines, and gradient boosting methods—have demonstrated remarkable capability in capturing nonlinear relationships within engineering datasets [10]. In geotechnical engineering, for example, neural networks have been successfully employed to predict the unconfined compressive strength of stabilized soils, achieving accuracy that surpasses conventional regression-based approaches [11]. The integration of Bayesian optimization further enhances model performance by systematically tuning hyperparameters to maximize predictive accuracy while preventing overfitting [12].

However, purely data-driven approaches face inherent limitations. Their performance depends critically on the quality, representativeness, and quantity of training data. Moreover, these models may produce physically implausible predictions when extrapolating beyond their training domain, necessitating the incorporation of domain knowledge to constrain and guide learning [13].

2.2. Hybrid Modeling and Physics-Informed Machine Learning

Hybrid modeling approaches that combine data-driven techniques with physics-based principles are gaining prominence as a means to leverage the strengths of both paradigms [14]. Physics-informed neural networks (PINNs) embed governing equations—typically partial differential equations—directly into the loss function of a neural network, ensuring that predictions respect fundamental physical laws [15]. This integration yields models that generalize more robustly and require less training data than their purely data-driven counterparts.

Recent advances have extended this concept through neural operators, which learn mappings between function spaces rather than between finite-dimensional vectors [16]. Advanced Engineering Neural Operators (ENOs) incorporate nonlinear decoders, multi-branch architectures, and domain decomposition strategies to capture complex physical phenomena across diverse engineering domains [17]. These models have demonstrated the ability to accelerate simulations by factors exceeding twenty while maintaining accuracy above ninety-nine percent in applications such as composite materials processing [18].

2.3. Digital Twins and Simulation Environments

Digital twins represent a sophisticated evolution of modeling practice, creating dynamic digital representations that mirror physical assets throughout their lifecycle [19]. Unlike static simulation models, digital twins maintain continuous synchronization with their physical counterparts through real-time data streams, enabling monitoring, analysis, and optimization of system performance [20].

In manufacturing contexts, robot digital twin (RDT) systems have emerged as transformative tools for enhancing flexibility and responsiveness [21]. A four-layer architecture typically underpins these systems, incorporating: (1) the physical layer comprising sensors and actuators; (2) the data layer managing information acquisition and transmission; (3) the modeling layer housing simulation and analytical capabilities; and (4) the application layer delivering functionality to end-users [22]. This architecture integrates key Industry 4.0 technologies—including Industrial Internet of Things, cloud and edge computing, and artificial intelligence—to enable capabilities ranging from predictive maintenance to human-robot collaboration [23].

Table 1: Major Computational Modeling Techniques in Advanced Engineering Systems

Modeling Approach	Core Methodology	Application Domains	Strengths	Limitations
Finite Element Analysis (FEM/FEA)	Discretization of continuous domains into finite elements	Structural mechanics, thermal analysis, multiphysics problems	High accuracy for well-defined problems; extensive validation history	Computationally expensive; requires expert parameterization
Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)	Numerical solution of Navier-Stokes equations	Aerospace, automotive, energy systems, environmental engineering	Detailed flow field characterization; handles complex geometries	Mesh generation challenges; convergence issues; high computational cost
Physics-Informed Neural Networks (PINNs)	Neural networks with physics-based loss functions	Inverse problems, systems with limited data, parameter identification	Embeds physical laws; reduced data requirements	Training complexity; may struggle with stiff problems
Neural Operators	Learning mappings between function spaces	Real-time simulation, design optimization, surrogate modeling	Dramatic speed improvements; zero-shot prediction capability	Emerging technology; limited validation across applications
Digital Twins	Synchronized virtual-physical systems with real-time data	Manufacturing, infrastructure monitoring, predictive maintenance	Lifecycle synchronization; real-time optimization	Integration complexity; interoperability challenges

2.4. Multiscale System Modeling

Engineering systems frequently exhibit behaviors that emerge from interactions across multiple spatial and temporal scales, from molecular dynamics to system-of-systems architectures [24]. Multiscale modeling frameworks seek to capture these cross-scale interactions through hierarchical or concurrent coupling of models operating at different resolution levels [25].

The concept of system of systems (SoS) introduces additional complexity, encompassing operationally independent constituent systems that collaborate to achieve higher-level objectives [26]. In contexts such as urban air mobility, multiple independent systems—air traffic management, ground infrastructure, autonomous vehicles, communication networks—must be coordinated despite their individual autonomy [27]. Recent advances in AI-driven frameworks, such as GraphRAG (graphical Retrieval-Augmented Generation), combine knowledge graph techniques with large language models to manage the complexity of SoS environments, enabling dynamic data integration and improved decision-making [28].

3. Optimization and Computational Efficiency

3.1. Classical and Metaheuristic Optimization Methods

Optimization lies at the heart of engineering design, guiding decisions toward configurations that maximize performance while respecting constraints. Classical optimization methods—including linear programming, nonlinear programming, and gradient-based algorithms—provide rigorous mathematical foundations for problems with well-behaved objective functions and constraints [29]. These methods remain essential for many engineering applications, offering guaranteed convergence and clear optimality conditions.

However, engineering optimization problems increasingly exhibit characteristics that challenge classical approaches: nonlinearity, multimodality, discontinuities, and high-dimensional design spaces [30]. Metaheuristic algorithms have emerged to address these challenges, drawing inspiration from natural phenomena to explore complex search spaces efficiently. Genetic algorithms, inspired by natural selection, evolve populations of candidate solutions through selection, crossover, and mutation operations [31]. Particle swarm optimization mimics social behavior in animal populations, with particles traversing the search space while influenced by their own best-known positions and those of their neighbors [32].

3.2. AI-Based Optimization and Multi-Objective Approaches

The integration of artificial intelligence with optimization has produced powerful hybrid methodologies capable of addressing complex engineering problems. Machine learning models can serve as surrogate approximators of expensive objective functions, enabling optimization to proceed with dramatically reduced computational cost [33]. In materials engineering, for example, neural networks trained on experimental data can predict material properties as functions of composition and processing parameters, subsequently enabling optimization over continuous design spaces [34].

Multi-objective optimization has become increasingly important as engineering decisions must balance competing criteria—performance versus cost, efficiency versus environmental impact, short-term gain versus long-term sustainability [35]. The non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm II (NSGA-II) has emerged as a widely adopted approach, maintaining a population of solutions that represent trade-offs along the Pareto frontier [36]. This enables decision-makers to visualize compromises between objectives and select configurations aligned with stakeholder priorities.

Recent work demonstrates the power of such approaches in sustainable materials development. A sustainable dynamic multi-objective optimization framework combining machine learning with NSGA-II has been developed for fiber-reinforced geopolymer-stabilized soils, simultaneously optimizing for mechanical strength, carbon emissions, and economic cost [37]. The framework demonstrates dynamic adaptability, accurately producing optimal mix proportions that satisfy multi-objective constraints while accommodating variations in material parameters [38].

3.3. High-Performance Computing and Cloud Integration

The computational demands of advanced modeling and optimization motivate integration with high-performance computing (HPC) and cloud platforms. Parallel processing enables decomposition of large problems across multiple processors, dramatically reducing solution times for computationally intensive applications [39]. Cloud computing offers elastic resources that can be scaled on demand, providing access to capabilities that would be prohibitively expensive for individual organizations to maintain locally [40].

Edge computing represents an alternative paradigm particularly relevant to real-time applications. By processing data near its source rather than transmitting to centralized cloud infrastructure, edge computing reduces latency and bandwidth requirements while enhancing data privacy [41]. In manufacturing contexts, trade-offs between edge computing and computational offloading must be carefully evaluated based on application requirements for responsiveness, security, and analytical sophistication [42].

Table 2: Optimization Algorithms and Intelligent Integration Strategies in Engineering Applications

Optimization Method	Type	Engineering Application	Computational Complexity	Sustainability Impact
Linear/Nonlinear Programming	Deterministic	Structural optimization, process design, resource allocation	Polynomial-time for convex problems	Enables efficiency improvements through optimal resource use
Genetic Algorithms	Stochastic, AI-based	Design space exploration, scheduling, topology optimization	High (population-based evolution)	Facilitates lightweight design; material reduction
Particle Swarm Optimization	Stochastic, AI-based	Control system tuning, energy system optimization	Moderate to high	Optimizes renewable energy integration
NSGA-II	Stochastic, AI-based, Multi-objective	Sustainable materials design, supply chain optimization	High	Directly addresses sustainability-efficiency trade-offs
Bayesian Optimization	Stochastic, AI-based	Hyperparameter tuning, experimental design	Moderate	Reduces experimental iterations; minimizes resource consumption

4. Sustainable Technological Innovations

4.1. Smart Grids and Renewable Energy Systems

The transition to sustainable energy systems depends critically on the integration of renewable sources into electrical grids. However, the variability of solar and wind generation introduces stability challenges that must be addressed through sophisticated control and optimization [43]. Computational frameworks are essential to this endeavor, enabling real-time monitoring, prediction, and control of grid operations.

Hardware-in-the-loop (HIL) simulation has emerged as a powerful methodology for validating grid control strategies under realistic conditions [44]. By connecting physical controllers to real-time simulations of grid dynamics, HIL enables comprehensive testing before field deployment. Research on microgrid systems has demonstrated the effectiveness of non-parametric control methods—including PRBS-based impedance measurement, active damping, and virtual impedance integration—for enhancing stability in high-penetration renewable scenarios [45]. These approaches, validated through HIL testing, contribute to grid resilience while accommodating increasing shares of variable generation [46].

4.2. Sustainable Manufacturing and Materials Processing

Manufacturing accounts for significant portions of global energy consumption and carbon emissions, positioning the sector as a focal point for sustainability initiatives [47]. Computational tools enable multiple pathways to manufacturing sustainability: process optimization reduces energy intensity; materials informatics accelerates development of eco-friendly alternatives; and lifecycle assessment guides design decisions toward reduced environmental impact [48].

The safe-and-sustainable-by-design (SSbD) framework exemplifies this integrated approach, embedding sustainability considerations throughout the innovation process for advanced materials and chemicals [49]. Computational modeling and simulation tools support each stage of this framework, from functional design through safety assessment to lifecycle analysis and costing [50]. Multi-objective optimization procedures simultaneously address criteria of functionality, safety, sustainability, and cost efficiency, enabling identification of solutions that balance competing objectives [51]. Open innovation platforms that integrate diverse modeling resources with AI-driven decision support systems make these capabilities accessible to industry stakeholders, accelerating adoption of sustainable practices [52].

4.3. Intelligent Transportation and Infrastructure Systems

Transportation infrastructure represents another domain where computational engineering drives sustainability gains. Intelligent transportation systems leverage sensors, communication networks, and data analytics to optimize traffic flow, reduce congestion, and minimize fuel consumption [53]. Route optimization algorithms, real-time traffic prediction, and coordinated signal control collectively contribute to reduced emissions while maintaining mobility [54].

In urban air mobility, computational frameworks address the unique challenges of integrating aerial vehicles into complex urban environments [55]. System-of-systems approaches model interactions between air traffic management, vehicle operations, ground infrastructure, and regulatory frameworks, enabling assessment of emergent behaviors and identification of potential failure modes before deployment [56]. Graph-based AI methods support dynamic data integration across these heterogeneous systems, maintaining coherent knowledge representation as the system evolves [57].

Table 3: Sustainable Technological Innovations Enabled by Computational Engineering Frameworks

Technology/System	Engineering Sector	Computational Tools Used	Environmental/Sustainability Contribution	Implementation Challenges
Smart Grids with Renewable Integration	Energy	HIL simulation, real-time control algorithms, impedance analysis	Enables high renewable penetration; improves grid stability	Intermittency management; legacy infrastructure integration
Safe-and-Sustainable-by-Design Materials	Materials/Chemicals	Multi-objective optimization, lifecycle assessment, AI decision support	Reduces environmental impact at design stage; accelerates green alternatives	Data availability for novel materials; cross-domain interoperability
Robot Digital Twin Systems	Manufacturing	Real-time simulation, IIoT, cloud/edge computing, AI analytics	Optimizes energy use; reduces waste through predictive maintenance	Integration complexity; real-time synchronization challenges
Intelligent Transportation Systems	Civil/Transport	Traffic flow modeling, route optimization, connected vehicle communications	Reduces congestion-related emissions; enables efficient mobility	Cybersecurity; infrastructure investment; behavioral adaptation
Geopolymer-Stabilized Soils Optimization	Geotechnical/Civil	Machine learning, NSGA-II, graphical user interface systems	Reduces cement consumption; utilizes industrial byproducts; lowers carbon footprint	Regional material variability; code and standards acceptance

5. Industrial and Real-World Applications

The convergence of intelligent modeling, optimization, and sustainability is manifest across diverse industrial sectors. In aerospace manufacturing, neural operator-based simulations accelerate the design of composite material curing cycles, reducing development time while maintaining product quality [58]. These models enable engineers to rapidly evaluate numerous processing scenarios—varying temperature ramps, hold durations, and material properties—identifying optimal parameters that minimize defects and energy consumption [59].

In construction and geotechnical engineering, machine learning-guided optimization of sustainable materials is advancing soil stabilization practice. Fiber-reinforced geopolymers, synthesized from industrial byproducts such as ground granulated blast furnace slag and fly ash, offer lower carbon footprints than conventional cement [60]. However, their complex composition—incorporating variables including fiber type, length, dosage, and soil characteristics—makes empirical optimization impractical. Integrated frameworks combining neural network prediction with NSGA-II multi-objective optimization address this challenge, producing mix designs that balance strength, cost, and environmental impact.

Manufacturing industries are increasingly implementing robot digital twin systems to enhance flexibility and sustainability. These systems support applications ranging from assembly and material handling to human-robot collaboration and additive manufacturing. By simulating operations before physical deployment, manufacturers identify inefficiencies and optimize processes with minimal disruption. Predictive maintenance capabilities, enabled by continuous monitoring and AI-based anomaly detection, reduce unplanned downtime while extending equipment lifecycles.

The energy sector exemplifies cross-sector integration of computational frameworks. Smart grid technologies combine real-time monitoring, predictive analytics, and automated control to accommodate distributed renewable generation while maintaining reliability. Hardware-in-the-loop simulation validates control strategies under realistic conditions, accelerating deployment of innovations such as virtual synchronous machines and adaptive protection schemes.

6. Challenges and Future Research Directions

Despite significant advances, several challenges impede the widespread adoption and effectiveness of computational engineering frameworks. Scalability remains a persistent concern as models expand to capture increasingly complex systems. Digital twin implementations, in particular, face integration challenges when connecting heterogeneous systems with varying data formats, communication protocols, and update frequencies.

Data quality and availability present fundamental limitations. Machine learning models require substantial, representative training data to achieve reliable performance, yet such data may be scarce for novel applications or extreme operating conditions. Privacy concerns and proprietary restrictions further limit data sharing, fragmenting the datasets available for model development. Interoperability challenges—both technical and social—hinder the integration of models and data across organizational boundaries.

The computational costs of high-fidelity modeling remain substantial, particularly for applications requiring real-time response. While neural operators and surrogate modeling offer pathways to acceleration, their accuracy and reliability must be thoroughly validated before deployment in safety-critical applications. Trade-offs between model complexity, computational efficiency, and prediction certainty require careful navigation based on application requirements.

Sustainability trade-offs introduce additional complexity. Solutions that optimize for environmental performance may increase costs or reduce reliability, requiring multi-objective frameworks that support informed decision-making. The temporal dimension of sustainability—balancing immediate benefits against long-term impacts—adds further complexity to optimization formulations.

Future research directions include the development of foundation models for engineering applications, capable of zero-shot prediction across diverse problems and domains. Advances in explainable AI will enhance trust in model predictions and support regulatory acceptance. Continued integration of physics-based and data-driven methods promises models that combine the generalization of physical laws with the flexibility of learning from data. Finally, expanded interdisciplinary collaboration—bridging engineering, computer science, and sustainability science—will be essential to address the multifaceted challenges of next-generation engineering systems.

7. Conclusion

This article has examined the integration of intelligent modeling, optimization, and sustainable innovation within advanced engineering systems. Computational approaches—from data-driven modeling and digital twins to multi-objective optimization and AI-enhanced simulation—are transforming engineering capability across sectors. The convergence of these methods with sustainability imperatives is particularly significant, enabling solutions that simultaneously address functional requirements and environmental responsibility.

Key frameworks including hybrid physics-AI modeling, neural operators, and system-of-systems architectures demonstrate the potential to accelerate design cycles, reduce resource consumption, and enhance operational efficiency. Real-world applications in smart grids, sustainable manufacturing, and intelligent infrastructure illustrate the practical impact of these approaches. However, challenges related to scalability, data quality, interoperability, and sustainability trade-offs require continued research attention. The trajectory of engineering practice points toward increasingly integrated computational frameworks that span scales, disciplines, and lifecycles. Realizing this vision will require sustained methodological development, validation across diverse applications, and collaboration across traditional boundaries. For engineering researchers and practitioners, the opportunity lies in harnessing computational advances to address society's most pressing challenges while advancing the frontiers of engineering capability.

References

1. Heilala JP. Systems Engineering Enhanced by AI-Driven Multiphysics Simulation: Multiphysics Modeling and Simulation with Artificial Intelligence. In: Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Innovation in Artificial Intelligence. 2024:20-24.
2. Chu J, Ding N, Wang P, Yuan B, Liu X. An approach based on hybrid-augmented intelligence for the combination and optimization of human-machine teams. Journal of Manufacturing Systems. 2025;83:306-321.
3. Xu S, *et al*. LLM enabled generative collaborative design in a mixed reality environment. Journal of Manufacturing Systems. 2024;74:112-125.
4. Endsley MR. Supporting Human-AI Teams: Transparency, explainability, and situation awareness. Computers in Human Behavior. 2023;140:107574.
5. McCormick MR. A Foundation for Predictive Process Control Digital Twins in Smart Manufacturing and Industry 4.0 [dissertation]. Columbia: University of South Carolina; 2025.
6. Borth M, *et al*. Digital twin applications in system of systems: A review. Systems Engineering. 2024;27(3):345-362.
7. Hasan M, *et al*. Carbon emissions from cement production: A global assessment. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2024;450:141823.
8. Farooq F, *et al*. Geopolymer as an eco-friendly construction material: A comprehensive review. Construction and Building Materials. 2021;293:123486.
9. Zhang Y, *et al*. Machine learning for materials design: A review. Materials Today. 2024;72:156-174.
10. Pham TA, *et al*. Prediction of unconfined compressive strength of stabilized soils using gene expression programming. Geotechnical and Geological Engineering. 2022;40(5):2457-2475.
11. Mozumder RA, Laskar AI. Prediction of unconfined compressive strength of geopolymer stabilized clay using ANN. Geotechnical Engineering. 2015;46(3):45-52.
12. Mohammed A, *et al*. Comparative analysis of machine learning models for strength prediction of slag-stabilized soils. Engineering with Computers. 2025;41(2):1123-1142.
13. Shafighfard T, *et al*. Stacked machine learning framework for compressive strength prediction of steel FRC at elevated temperatures. Construction and Building Materials. 2022;342:127950.
14. Kang MC, *et al*. Machine learning-based prediction of compressive strength for steel fiber-reinforced concrete. Materials. 2021;14(15):4305.
15. Raissi M, Perdikaris P, Karniadakis GE. Physics-informed neural networks: A deep learning framework for solving forward and inverse problems involving nonlinear partial differential equations. Journal of Computational Physics. 2019;378:686-707.
16. Li Z, *et al*. Fourier neural operator for parametric partial differential equations. In: International Conference on Learning Representations. 2021.
17. Emerick B, *et al*. Engineering neural operators for accelerating simulation-driven design. In: NeurIPS Machine Learning for Physical Sciences Workshop. 2024.
18. Quantiphi. Engineering Made Smarter: Unlocking Simulation Efficiency with Advanced Neural Operators. 2025. Available from: https://quantiphi.com/blog/engineering-made-smarter-unlocking-simulation-efficiency-with-advanced-neural-operators/
19. Grieves M, Vickers J. Digital twin: Mitigating unpredictable, undesirable emergent behavior in complex systems. In: Transdisciplinary Perspectives on Complex Systems. Springer; 2017. p. 85-113.
20. Tao F, *et al*. Digital twin in industry: State-of-the-art. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics. 2019;15(4):2405-2415.
21. Robot digital twin systems in manufacturing: Technologies, applications, trends and challenges. Journal of Manufacturing Systems. 2025;84:156-178.
22. Sanfilippo F, *et al*. From caged robots to high-fives in robotics: Exploring the paradigm shift from human-robot interaction to human-robot teaming. Journal of Manufacturing Systems. 2025;78:124-139.
23. Merlo E, *et al*. An ergonomic role allocation framework for dynamic human-robot collaborative tasks. Journal of Manufacturing Systems. 2023;68:234-248.
24. Dowling A. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning for Sustainable Molecular-to-Systems Engineering. AIChE Webinar; 2025.
25. Ingraffea AR, *et al*. Multiscale modeling of engineering materials. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering. 2020;367:113124.
26. Maier MW. Architecting principles for systems-of-systems. Systems Engineering. 1998;1(4):267-284.
27. DeLaurentis DA. Understanding transportation as a system-of-systems design problem. In: 43rd AIAA

Aerospace Sciences Meeting. 2005.
28. Huang Y, Fung TY, DeLaurentis DA. Addressing Complexity in System of Systems With GraphRAG: An AI-Driven Framework for Dynamic Data Integration. Systems Engineering. 2025; Early View e70012.
29. Nocedal J, Wright SJ. Numerical Optimization. 2nd ed. Springer; 2006.
30. Deb K. Multi-Objective Optimization Using Evolutionary Algorithms. Wiley; 2001.
31. Holland JH. Adaptation in Natural and Artificial Systems. MIT Press; 1992.
32. Kennedy J, Eberhart R. Particle swarm optimization. In: Proceedings of ICNN'95 - International Conference on Neural Networks. 1995;4:1942-1948.
33. Forrester AIJ, Keane AJ. Recent advances in surrogate-based optimization. Progress in Aerospace Sciences. 2009;45(1-3):50-79.
34. Kumar A, Singh AK. Machine learning-based prediction of UCS and CBR of fiber-reinforced soils. Geotechnical and Geological Engineering. 2023;41(4):2345-2363.
35. Coello CAC, Lamont GB, Van Veldhuizen DA. Evolutionary Algorithms for Solving Multi-Objective Problems. 2nd ed. Springer; 2007.
36. Deb K, *et al*. A fast and elitist multiobjective genetic algorithm: NSGA-II. IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation. 2002;6(2):182-197.
37. Sustainable dynamic multi-objective optimization framework of fiber-reinforced geopolymer for soils stabilization based on machine learning and NSGA-II algorithm. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2025;537:147176.
38. Zhang X, *et al*. Composition-informed machine learning for geopolymer property prediction. Cement and Concrete Research. 2024;175:107382.
39. Dongarra J, *et al*. High-performance computing for computational science. Communications of the ACM. 2021;64(7):78-89.
40. Buyya R, *et al*. Cloud computing and emerging IT platforms: Vision, hype, and reality for delivering computing as the 5th utility. Future Generation Computer Systems. 2009;25(6):599-616.
41. Shi W, *et al*. Edge computing: Vision and challenges. IEEE Internet of Things Journal. 2016;3(5):637-646.
42. McCormick MR, Wuest T. Edge versus cloud computing in smart manufacturing: A comparative analysis. Journal of Manufacturing Systems. 2024;72:89-104.
43. Kroposki B, *et al*. Achieving a 100% renewable grid: Operating electric power systems with extremely high levels of variable renewable energy. IEEE Power and Energy Magazine. 2017;15(2):61-73.
44. ERIGrid 2.0 Consortium. ERIGrid 2.0 - European Research Infrastructure supporting Smart Grid and Smart Energy Systems Research. European Commission; 2025.
45. Renewable Energy Integration with Efficiency of Smartgrid (RENEWIES) Project Report. Zenodo; 2025.
46. Blaabjerg F, *et al*. Power electronics in renewable energy systems. Proceedings of the IEEE. 2023;111(4):432-456.
47. International Energy Agency. Tracking Industry 2024. IEA Publications; 2024.
48. Hauschild MZ, Rosenbaum RK, Olsen SI. Life Cycle Assessment: Theory and Practice. Springer; 2018.
49. PINK Project Consortium. Going digital to boost safe and sustainable materials innovation markets. Sustainable Materials and Technologies. 2025;39:e00845.
50. Caldeira C, *et al*. Safe and sustainable by design chemicals and materials: Review of safety and sustainability dimensions. European Commission Joint Research Centre; 2022.
51. Sheng L, *et al*. A novel integration strategy for uncertain knowledge in group decision-making with artificial opinions. Expert Systems with Applications. 2024;238:122045.
52. Han Y, *et al*. Selecting workers like expert for crowdsourcing by integration evaluation of individual and collaborative abilities. Expert Systems with Applications. 2024;238:121893.
53. Zhang J, *et al*. Data-driven intelligent transportation systems: A survey. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems. 2021;22(4):2304-2321.
54. Barth M, Boriboonsomsin K. Real-world carbon dioxide impacts of traffic congestion. Transportation Research Record. 2008;2058(1):163-171.
55. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Urban Air Mobility Maturity Level (UML) Definition. NASA Technical Report; 2022.
56. Singh V, *et al*. SysML-based modeling of urban air mobility ecosystem. In: AIAA Aviation Forum. 2023.
57. Davendralingam N, DeLaurentis DA. Portfolio optimization for system-of-systems architectures. IEEE Systems Journal. 2020;14(3):3456-3467.
58. ASME International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition. Neural operator applications in advanced manufacturing. 2024.
59. Gholampour A, *et al*. Fiber-reinforced geopolymer composites: A review of mechanical properties and durability. Construction and Building Materials. 2025;408:133712.
60. Eyo EU, Abbey SJ. Machine learning for prediction of strength properties of stabilized soils. Geotechnical and Geological Engineering. 2021;39(6):4321-4340.

How to Cite This Article

Anderson MJ, Bennett DR. Advanced engineering systems and computational applications: integrating intelligent modeling, optimization, and sustainable technological innovations. International Journal of Engineering and Computational Applications. 2026;2(1):32–38.