



Computational Intelligence and Modern Engineering Applications: Simulation, Data-Driven Design, and Smart Infrastructure Development

Dr. Giulia F Romano

Computational Optimization for Engineering Systems Laboratory, University of Padua, Italy

* Corresponding Author: **Dr. Giulia F Romano**

Article Info

ISSN (Online): 3107-6580

Impact Factor (RSIF): 8.23

Volume: 02

Issue: 02

Received: 05-01-2026

Accepted: 04-02-2026

Published: 03-03-2026

Page No: 17-23

Abstract

The convergence of computational intelligence with engineering practice is catalysing a fundamental transformation in how complex systems are designed, analysed, and operated. This article provides a comprehensive review of the integration of artificial intelligence, machine learning, and advanced simulation methodologies within contemporary engineering applications, with particular emphasis on data-driven design frameworks and smart infrastructure development. Against the backdrop of digital transformation and Industry 4.0 paradigms, the article examines foundational computational intelligence techniques—including deep learning, evolutionary algorithms, and reinforcement learning—and their deployment across engineering domains. Key simulation methodologies, from finite element analysis to multiphysics modelling, are evaluated in terms of their integration with data-driven approaches and their role in creating digital twin environments. The development of smart infrastructure systems, including intelligent transportation networks, smart grids, and structural health monitoring platforms, is analysed through the lens of computational intelligence integration. Real-world industrial applications demonstrate the translational impact of these technologies across manufacturing, energy, and civil infrastructure sectors. The article concludes by identifying persistent challenges related to scalability, data interoperability, and ethical AI deployment, while outlining future research directions that align with sustainable development imperatives. This synthesis underscores the transformative potential of computational intelligence in advancing engineering capability and infrastructure resilience.

Keywords: Computational Intelligence, Machine Learning, Engineering Simulation, Data-Driven Design, Smart Infrastructure, Digital Twins

1. Introduction

The evolution of engineering systems has entered a phase of accelerated transformation driven by the convergence of computational intelligence, pervasive sensing, and ubiquitous connectivity ^[1, 2]. Traditional engineering approaches, while successful in addressing well-defined problems with established physical principles, face limitations when confronted with the complexity, uncertainty, and dynamic behaviour characteristic of contemporary systems ^[3]. Computational intelligence—encompassing artificial neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy systems, and hybrid methodologies—offers powerful means to address these challenges by enabling systems that learn from data, adapt to changing conditions, and optimise their behaviour autonomously ^[4, 5].

Parallel to these developments, engineering simulation has evolved from a specialised verification tool to an integral component of the design process. Modern simulation environments support multiphysics analysis, real-time interaction, and integration with physical systems through digital twin paradigms ^[6]. The convergence of simulation with data-driven methods creates opportunities for hybrid approaches that leverage the strengths of both paradigms: the generalisability of physical laws and the flexibility of learning from observations ^[7]. The imperative of smart infrastructure development adds urgency to these technological advances. Urban populations continue to grow, climate change imposes new stresses on built environments, and

societal expectations for sustainability and resilience intensify^[8]. Intelligent transportation systems, smart grids, and structural health monitoring platforms represent critical applications where computational intelligence can deliver tangible benefits in efficiency, safety, and environmental performance^[9, 10].

This article aims to synthesise current knowledge at the intersection of computational intelligence, engineering simulation, data-driven design, and smart infrastructure development. The scope encompasses foundational techniques, methodological frameworks, and practical applications, with emphasis on translational impact and future research directions. Following this introduction, the article examines core computational intelligence techniques, simulation and data-driven design methodologies, smart infrastructure applications, and industrial case implementations, before concluding with challenges and future perspectives.

2. Foundations of Computational Intelligence in Engineering

2.1. Machine Learning and Deep Learning in Engineering

Machine learning has emerged as a cornerstone of computational intelligence in engineering, enabling systems to extract patterns and relationships from data without explicit programming^[11]. Supervised learning techniques—including support vector machines, random forests, and gradient boosting methods—have found widespread application in engineering domains ranging from materials property prediction to structural health assessment^[12]. These methods excel at capturing nonlinear relationships that resist analytical formulation, though their performance depends critically on the quality and representativeness of training data.

Deep learning architectures have extended these capabilities to problems involving high-dimensional data and complex feature hierarchies^[13]. Convolutional neural networks (CNNs) automatically learn spatial features from sensor arrays and imagery, making them particularly suitable for infrastructure monitoring applications^[14]. Recurrent neural networks (RNNs) and long short-term memory (LSTM) networks capture temporal dependencies in sequential data, enabling prediction of system behaviour over time^[15]. In geotechnical engineering, for example, LSTM networks have been successfully deployed for real-time settlement prediction in railway tunnels, achieving significant improvements in accuracy while operating within the power constraints of embedded monitoring systems^[16].

2.2. Evolutionary and Swarm-Based Algorithms

Evolutionary algorithms, inspired by natural selection, maintain populations of candidate solutions that evolve through selection, crossover, and mutation operations^[17]. Genetic algorithms have demonstrated particular utility in engineering design optimisation, where they explore complex, multimodal design spaces to identify

configurations that satisfy multiple competing objectives^[18]. Unlike gradient-based methods, evolutionary approaches do not require differentiability of the objective function, making them applicable to problems with discontinuities, mixed variable types, and black-box simulations.

Swarm intelligence algorithms, including particle swarm optimisation and ant colony optimisation, model collective behaviour in decentralised systems^[19]. These methods are characterised by simplicity of implementation, minimal parameter requirements, and effectiveness in problems where the search space is poorly understood. In structural engineering applications, particle swarm optimisation has been employed for topology optimisation, truss design, and damage identification, demonstrating robust performance across diverse problem classes^[20].

2.3. Reinforcement Learning and Intelligent Control

Reinforcement learning addresses sequential decision-making problems where an agent learns optimal behaviour through interaction with an environment^[21]. The agent receives feedback in the form of rewards or penalties, gradually learning policies that maximise cumulative reward over time. This paradigm is particularly relevant to engineering control applications, where systems must adapt to changing conditions while maintaining stable and efficient operation.

Deep reinforcement learning combines deep neural networks with reinforcement learning principles, enabling agents to learn directly from high-dimensional sensory inputs^[22]. In smart grid applications, deep reinforcement learning agents have demonstrated capability in optimising energy storage dispatch, managing demand response, and coordinating distributed energy resources^[23]. The ability to learn complex control policies without explicit mathematical models makes these approaches attractive for systems where accurate modelling is impractical.

2.4. Hybrid Intelligent Systems

The recognition that no single computational intelligence technique is universally optimal has motivated the development of hybrid systems that combine multiple approaches^[24]. Neuro-fuzzy systems integrate neural network learning capabilities with fuzzy logic's ability to represent and reason with uncertain knowledge. These systems learn membership functions and fuzzy rules from data, producing interpretable models that accommodate imprecision and uncertainty.

Ensemble methods combine multiple learning algorithms to achieve superior predictive performance^[25]. Stacking, boosting, and bagging techniques have been extensively applied in engineering contexts, where they reduce variance, control overfitting, and improve generalisation. The success of ensemble approaches reflects the principle that diverse perspectives, when appropriately integrated, yield more robust and reliable predictions than any single model.

Table 1: Major Computational Intelligence Techniques and Their Engineering Applications

Technique/Algorithm	Core Principle	Engineering Domain	Typical Use Case	Computational Complexity Considerations
Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN)	Hierarchical feature extraction through convolutional filters	Structural health monitoring, infrastructure inspection	Damage detection from sensor arrays or imagery	High training cost; efficient inference on edge devices possible with optimisation
Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM)	Gated recurrent architecture for sequential data	Geotechnical monitoring, transportation systems	Time-series prediction of settlement, traffic flow	Moderate to high; benefits from hardware acceleration
Genetic Algorithms	Population-based evolution through selection, crossover, mutation	Structural optimisation, design space exploration	Topology optimisation, truss design	High (population-based); parallelisable across generations
Particle Swarm Optimisation	Collective behaviour modelled on social systems	Control system tuning, parameter identification	PID controller optimisation, model calibration	Moderate; efficient for continuous optimisation problems
Reinforcement Learning	Learning optimal policies through environment interaction	Smart grids, autonomous systems	Energy storage dispatch, adaptive traffic signal control	High during training; low during deployment
Neuro-Fuzzy Systems	Integration of neural learning with fuzzy logic	Process control, decision support systems	Industrial process control, fault diagnosis	Moderate; interpretability advantages offset computational cost

3. Simulation and Data-Driven Engineering Design

3.1. Finite Element and Multiphysics Simulation

Finite element analysis (FEA) remains a cornerstone of engineering simulation, providing detailed insights into the behaviour of structures, components, and systems under diverse loading conditions [26]. The method discretises continuous domains into finite elements, solving governing partial differential equations approximately through variational principles. Advances in computational power have enabled increasingly sophisticated analyses incorporating nonlinear material behaviour, large deformations, and transient effects.

Multiphysics simulation extends these capabilities to problems involving coupled physical phenomena—thermal-stress interactions, fluid-structure coupling, electromagnetic-thermal effects [27]. Such simulations are essential for modern engineering systems where multiple physical domains interact significantly. In geotechnical engineering, for example, coupled hydro-mechanical models capture the complex interactions between soil deformation and pore fluid flow that govern settlement behaviour [28].

3.2. Digital Twins and Cyber-Physical Systems

Digital twins represent a paradigm shift in simulation practice, creating dynamic digital representations that mirror physical assets throughout their lifecycle [29]. Unlike conventional simulation models that provide one-time analysis, digital twins maintain continuous synchronisation with their physical counterparts through real-time data streams [30]. This enables monitoring of current condition, prediction of future behaviour, and simulation of alternative operational scenarios.

The integration of sensing technologies with machine learning and simulation creates powerful capabilities for infrastructure management. In underground infrastructure applications, maintenance-oriented digital twin platforms integrate ground-penetrating radar, closed-circuit television, and advanced machine learning methods—including multi-task transformers and segmentation models—to assess pipeline condition and predict future performance [31]. These platforms enable a transition from reactive to proactive maintenance paradigms, with significant implications for safety, cost, and service reliability [32].

3.3. Big Data Analytics in Design Optimisation

The proliferation of sensors and monitoring systems generates vast quantities of data that can inform engineering design and operation [33]. Big data analytics encompasses the techniques and technologies required to extract value from these datasets, including distributed storage, parallel processing, and advanced visualisation. In design contexts, historical data on system performance enables evidence-based refinement of design rules, identification of failure modes, and validation of simulation models.

Data-driven design optimisation integrates machine learning models with optimisation algorithms to accelerate the design process [34]. Surrogate modelling approaches approximate expensive simulation codes with computationally efficient emulators, enabling extensive exploration of design spaces at acceptable cost. Bayesian optimisation, which balances exploration of uncertain regions with exploitation of promising areas, has proven particularly effective for problems where each function evaluation is expensive [35].

3.4. Model Validation and Predictive Analytics

The credibility of simulation-based design depends critically on validation—the process of determining whether models adequately represent reality for their intended purpose [36]. Validation encompasses comparison with experimental data, uncertainty quantification, and assessment of predictive capability. In safety-critical applications, rigorous validation is essential for regulatory acceptance and risk management. Predictive analytics extends validation concepts to anticipate future system behaviour based on current data and historical patterns [37]. Machine learning models trained on monitoring data can predict remaining useful life, identify incipient faults, and recommend maintenance actions. The integration of physics-based and data-driven approaches—so-called hybrid analytics—offers particular promise, combining the generalisability of physical models with the adaptability of learning from data [38].

4. Smart Infrastructure Development

4.1. Intelligent Transportation Systems

Intelligent transportation systems (ITS) leverage sensing, communication, and computational intelligence to improve the safety, efficiency, and sustainability of transport networks

[39]. Real-time traffic monitoring, adaptive signal control, and dynamic route guidance collectively reduce congestion, lower emissions, and enhance mobility. Machine learning models trained on historical and real-time traffic data predict congestion patterns, enabling proactive management strategies.

Connected and autonomous vehicles represent the frontier of intelligent transportation, requiring sophisticated computational intelligence for perception, decision-making, and control [40]. These systems must operate reliably in complex, dynamic environments while interacting safely with human-driven vehicles, pedestrians, and infrastructure. The computational demands are substantial, motivating ongoing research into efficient algorithms and specialised hardware.

Urban air mobility introduces additional complexity, integrating aerial vehicles into multimodal urban transportation systems [41]. System-of-systems approaches model interactions between air traffic management, vehicle operations, ground infrastructure, and regulatory frameworks. Graph-based AI methods support dynamic data integration across these heterogeneous systems, maintaining coherent knowledge representation as the system evolves [42].

4.2. Smart Grids and Energy Systems

The transition to sustainable energy systems depends critically on smart grid technologies that accommodate distributed renewable generation while maintaining reliability [43]. Solar and wind generation introduce variability that challenges traditional grid operations, requiring sophisticated forecasting, storage management, and demand response capabilities. Computational intelligence provides essential tools for these challenges.

Load forecasting—predicting electricity demand over various time horizons—enables optimal scheduling of generation resources and demand response programmes [44]. Deep learning models, particularly those incorporating temporal attention mechanisms, have demonstrated superior forecasting accuracy compared to traditional time-series methods. In distribution networks, reinforcement learning

agents manage voltage regulation and congestion, adapting to changing conditions without explicit programming [45].

Hardware-in-the-loop simulation validates grid control strategies under realistic conditions before field deployment [46]. By connecting physical controllers to real-time simulations of grid dynamics, these platforms enable comprehensive testing of protection schemes, control algorithms, and communication protocols. Research on microgrid systems has demonstrated the effectiveness of advanced control methods for enhancing stability in high-penetration renewable scenarios [47].

4.3. Structural Health Monitoring

Structural health monitoring (SHM) employs sensing systems to assess the condition of civil infrastructure continuously, enabling early detection of damage and informed maintenance decisions [48]. Traditional SHM approaches relied on manual inspection or periodic monitoring campaigns, but advances in sensing and computational intelligence now support continuous, automated assessment.

Machine learning methods for damage detection learn patterns from sensor data that correlate with structural condition [49]. Vibration-based monitoring, the most widely deployed SHM modality, analyses changes in modal parameters—natural frequencies, mode shapes, damping ratios—that indicate damage. Deep learning architectures automatically extract relevant features from raw sensor data, reducing reliance on hand-crafted features and expert interpretation.

In geotechnical applications, TinyML-based systems enable low-power, real-time monitoring of railway tunnel settlement [50]. These systems deploy optimised machine learning models on resource-constrained embedded devices, achieving significant improvements in energy efficiency while maintaining prediction accuracy. The integration of physics-informed simulations with data-driven corrections captures complex soil-structure interactions that pure data-driven approaches might miss.

Table 2: Data-Driven Design and Smart Infrastructure Frameworks: Benefits and Implementation Challenges

Framework/System	Infrastructure Sector	Data Integration Approach	Performance Advantages	Implementation Challenges
Hybrid AI-IoT-Digital Twin Framework	Urban infrastructure management	Multi-source sensor data, edge inference with CNN/LSTM, federated learning	28% reduction in response time; 35% decrease in maintenance costs; privacy preservation	Integration complexity; synchronisation across heterogeneous systems
Maintenance-Oriented Digital Twin	Underground pipelines	GPR and CCTV integration; multi-task transformers; Segment Anything Models	Enables proactive maintenance; predicts future pipeline performance	Data quality variability; sensor deployment costs; model generalisation across contexts
TinyML-Based Monitoring System	Geotechnical/railway infrastructure	Edge-based data processing; dynamic feature selection; model compression	50% improvement in energy efficiency; 35% reduction in prediction errors; extended sensor lifespan	Computational constraints on edge devices; model update mechanisms
Data-Driven Urban Digital Twin	Critical infrastructure under climate change	Real-time data integration; predictive analytics; simulation coupling	Enhanced climate resilience; data-driven decision-making	Interoperability standards; governance frameworks; equitable resource distribution

5. Industrial Applications and Case Integration

The convergence of computational intelligence, simulation, and smart infrastructure concepts is manifest across diverse industrial sectors. In manufacturing, Industry 4.0 implementation integrates cyber-physical systems, industrial

Internet of Things, and artificial intelligence to create smart factories with unprecedented flexibility and efficiency. Robot digital twin systems support applications ranging from assembly to human-robot collaboration, enabling simulation-based optimisation before physical deployment.

In the energy sector, smart grid technologies combine real-time monitoring, predictive analytics, and automated control to accommodate distributed renewable generation. Advanced metering infrastructure provides granular consumption data that informs demand response programmes and enables time-of-use pricing. Machine learning forecasts renewable generation, enabling optimal scheduling of conventional generation and storage resources.

Civil infrastructure applications demonstrate the potential of computational intelligence to extend asset life and improve safety. Structural health monitoring systems on bridges, tunnels, and buildings provide continuous condition assessment, alerting operators to developing problems before they become critical. Digital twins of infrastructure assets enable scenario analysis, supporting decisions about maintenance, rehabilitation, or replacement.

Geotechnical engineering applications illustrate the power of hybrid approaches combining physics-based and data-driven methods. Tunnel settlement prediction frameworks integrate finite element modelling with machine learning corrections, capturing complex soil-structure interactions while adapting to site-specific conditions. Multi-objective optimisation tools support sustainable materials selection, balancing mechanical performance with environmental impact.

6. Challenges and Future Directions

Despite significant advances, several challenges impede the widespread adoption and effectiveness of computational intelligence in engineering applications. Data quality and availability remain fundamental limitations. Machine learning models require substantial, representative training data to achieve reliable performance, yet such data may be scarce for novel applications or extreme operating conditions. Privacy concerns and proprietary restrictions further limit data sharing, fragmenting the datasets available for model development.

Scalability presents persistent challenges as models expand to capture increasingly complex systems. Digital twin implementations must integrate heterogeneous data sources with varying formats, update frequencies, and quality characteristics. Interoperability standards remain immature, hindering integration across organisational and sectoral boundaries.

Ethical and secure AI deployment demands increasing attention as computational intelligence assumes greater responsibility in safety-critical applications. Bias in training data can perpetuate or amplify existing inequalities in infrastructure service provision. Explainability—the ability to understand and trust model predictions—is essential for regulatory acceptance and user confidence. Adversarial vulnerabilities raise concerns about system security, particularly in connected infrastructure contexts.

Energy-efficient computing has emerged as a critical consideration as the scale of AI deployment grows. Projections indicate that AI agents will number in the trillions by the mid-2030s, with corresponding demands on computing infrastructure and energy consumption. Edge computing and model compression techniques offer pathways to reduce energy footprints, but sustained research is needed to balance efficiency with capability.

Integration with sustainable development goals represents both an opportunity and a challenge. Computational intelligence can accelerate progress toward sustainability

targets through optimised resource use, reduced emissions, and enhanced resilience. However, the technology's own environmental footprint—from hardware manufacturing to operational energy consumption—must be managed responsibly.

Future research directions include foundation models for engineering applications, capable of zero-shot prediction across diverse problems. Advances in physics-informed machine learning promise models that combine the generalisability of physical laws with the flexibility of learning from data. Continued development of edge AI technologies will enable intelligent monitoring in previously inaccessible locations and applications. Finally, expanded interdisciplinary collaboration—bridging engineering, computer science, and sustainability science—will be essential to address the multifaceted challenges of next-generation infrastructure systems.

7. Conclusion

This article has examined the integration of computational intelligence, engineering simulation, data-driven design, and smart infrastructure development within contemporary engineering practice. Machine learning, evolutionary algorithms, and hybrid intelligent systems provide powerful tools for extracting knowledge from data, optimising complex systems, and enabling adaptive behaviour. Simulation methodologies—from finite element analysis to digital twins—create virtual environments for design exploration, performance prediction, and operational decision support. Smart infrastructure applications in transportation, energy, and structural health monitoring demonstrate the translational impact of these technologies on system efficiency, safety, and sustainability.

The convergence of computational intelligence with engineering practice is not merely an incremental advance but a fundamental transformation in how engineering systems are conceived, designed, and operated. The ability to learn from data, adapt to changing conditions, and optimise behaviour autonomously opens possibilities that were previously unimaginable. However, realising this potential requires sustained attention to challenges of data quality, scalability, ethics, and sustainability.

For engineering researchers and practitioners, the imperative is clear: to harness computational intelligence in service of systems that are not only more capable and efficient but also more resilient, equitable, and environmentally responsible. The path forward lies in continued methodological innovation, rigorous validation, and interdisciplinary collaboration that bridges technical excellence with societal values.

References

1. Piñero Pérez PY, Kacprzyk J, Bello Pérez R, Pérez Pupo I, editors. *Computational intelligence in engineering and project management*. Cham: Springer; 2024.
2. Heilala JP. Systems engineering enhanced by AI-driven multiphysics simulation: multiphysics modeling and simulation with artificial intelligence. In: *Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Innovation in Artificial Intelligence*; 2024. p. 20-24.
3. Xu S, *et al.* LLM enabled generative collaborative design in a mixed reality environment. *J Manuf Syst*. 2024;74:112-125.

4. Endsley MR. Supporting human-AI teams: transparency, explainability, and situation awareness. *Comput Hum Behav.* 2023;140:107574.
5. McCormick MR. A foundation for predictive process control digital twins in smart manufacturing and Industry 4.0 [dissertation]. Columbia: University of South Carolina; 2025.
6. Borth M, *et al.* Digital twin applications in system of systems: a review. *Syst Eng.* 2024;27(3):345-362.
7. Raissi M, Perdikaris P, Karniadakis GE. Physics-informed neural networks: a deep learning framework for solving forward and inverse problems involving nonlinear partial differential equations. *J Comput Phys.* 2019;378:686-707.
8. Hasan M, *et al.* Carbon emissions from cement production: a global assessment. *J Clean Prod.* 2024;450:141823.
9. Kroposki B, *et al.* Achieving a 100% renewable grid: operating electric power systems with extremely high levels of variable renewable energy. *IEEE Power Energy Mag.* 2017;15(2):61-73.
10. Zhang J, *et al.* Data-driven intelligent transportation systems: a survey. *IEEE Trans Intell Transp Syst.* 2021;22(4):2304-2321.
11. Zhang Y, *et al.* Machine learning for materials design: a review. *Mater Today.* 2024;72:156-174.
12. Pham TA, *et al.* Prediction of unconfined compressive strength of stabilized soils using gene expression programming. *Geotech Geol Eng.* 2022;40(5):2457-2475.
13. Kang MC, *et al.* Machine learning-based prediction of compressive strength for steel fiber-reinforced concrete. *Materials (Basel).* 2021;14(15):4305.
14. Shafighfar T, *et al.* Stacked machine learning framework for compressive strength prediction of steel FRC at elevated temperatures. *Constr Build Mater.* 2022;342:127950.
15. Landi F, *et al.* Working memory connections for LSTM. *Neural Netw.* 2021;144:334-345.
16. Lv B, Wang L, Liu X, Liu B, Liu B, Zhang Z, *et al.* Design of a low-power intelligent system for optimizing railway tunnel settlement data stream processing using TinyML. *Comput Electr Eng.* 2025;123:110140.
17. Holland JH. *Adaptation in natural and artificial systems.* Cambridge (MA): MIT Press; 1992.
18. Deb K, *et al.* A fast and elitist multiobjective genetic algorithm: NSGA-II. *IEEE Trans Evol Comput.* 2002;6(2):182-197.
19. Kennedy J, Eberhart R. Particle swarm optimization. In: *Proceedings of ICNN'95 - International Conference on Neural Networks*; 1995. p. 1942-1948.
20. Coello CAC, Lamont GB, Van Veldhuizen DA. *Evolutionary algorithms for solving multi-objective problems.* 2nd ed. New York: Springer; 2007.
21. Sutton RS, Barto AG. *Reinforcement learning: an introduction.* 2nd ed. Cambridge (MA): MIT Press; 2018.
22. Mnih V, *et al.* Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. *Nature.* 2015;518(7540):529-533.
23. Alourani A, Alam M, Ashraf *et al.* Hybrid AI-IoT framework with digital twin integration for predictive urban infrastructure management in smart cities. *Comput Mater Contin.* 2025;[pages not specified; e-locator S1546221825010963 or DOI if available].
24. Mozumder RA, Laskar AI. Prediction of unconfined compressive strength of geopolymer stabilized clay using ANN. *Geotech Eng.* 2015;46(3):45-52.
25. Mohammed A, *et al.* Comparative analysis of machine learning models for strength prediction of slag-stabilized soils. *Eng Comput.* 2025;41(2):1123-1142.
26. Nocedal J, Wright SJ. *Numerical optimization.* 2nd ed. New York: Springer; 2006.
27. Ingraffea AR, *et al.* Multiscale modeling of engineering materials. *Comput Methods Appl Mech Eng.* 2020;367:113124.
28. Wang G, *et al.* Physics-informed neural network (PINN) model for predicting subgrade settlement induced by shield tunnelling beneath an existing railway subgrade. *Transp Geotech.* 2024;45:101234.
29. Grieves M, Vickers J. Digital twin: mitigating unpredictable, undesirable emergent behavior in complex systems. In: Kahlen FJ, Flumerfelt S, Alves A, editors. *Transdisciplinary perspectives on complex systems: new findings and approaches.* Cham: Springer; 2017. p. 85-113.
30. Tao F, *et al.* Digital twin in industry: state-of-the-art. *IEEE Trans Ind Inform.* 2019;15(4):2405-2415.
31. Maintenance-oriented digital twin for underground infrastructure with sensing, machine learning, BIM and simulation. *CORDIS EU Research Projects.* Brussels: European Commission; 2025. Available from: [https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/\[project ID if known\]](https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/[project ID if known]).
32. Robot digital twin systems in manufacturing: technologies, applications, trends and challenges. *J Manuf Syst.* 2025;84:156-178.
33. Buyya R, *et al.* Cloud computing and emerging IT platforms: vision, hype, and reality for delivering computing as the 5th utility. *Future Gener Comput Syst.* 2009;25(6):599-616.
34. Forrester AIJ, Keane AJ. Recent advances in surrogate-based optimization. *Prog Aerosp Sci.* 2009;45(1-3):50-79.
35. Kumar A, Singh AK. Machine learning-based prediction of UCS and CBR of fiber-reinforced soils. *Geotech Geol Eng.* 2023;41(4):2345-2363.
36. Dowling A. Artificial intelligence and machine learning for sustainable molecular-to-systems engineering. *AICHE Webinar*; 2025.
37. Zhang H, *et al.* A deep learning-based surrogate model for probabilistic analysis of high-speed railway tunnel crown settlement in spatially variable soil considering construction process. *Eng Appl Artif Intell.* 2024;133:108234.
38. Emerick B, *et al.* Engineering neural operators for accelerating simulation-driven design. In: *NeurIPS Machine Learning for Physical Sciences Workshop*; 2024.
39. Barth M, Boriboonsomsin K. Real-world carbon dioxide impacts of traffic congestion. *Transp Res Rec.* 2008;2058(1):163-171.
40. Sanfilippo F, *et al.* From caged robots to high-fives in robotics: exploring the paradigm shift from human-robot interaction to human-robot teaming. *J Manuf Syst.* 2025;78:124-139.

41. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Urban air mobility maturity level (UML) definition. NASA Technical Report. Washington, DC: NASA; 2022.
42. Huang Y, Fung TY, DeLaurentis DA. Addressing complexity in system of systems with GraphRAG: an AI-driven framework for dynamic data integration. Syst Eng. 2025;Early View:e70012.
43. Blaabjerg F, *et al.* Power electronics in renewable energy systems. Proc IEEE. 2023;111(4):432-456.
44. Zhou H, *et al.* Informer: beyond efficient transformer for long sequence time-series forecasting. In: Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence; 2020.
45. ERIGrid 2.0 Consortium. ERIGrid 2.0 - European research infrastructure supporting smart grid and smart energy systems research. Brussels: European Commission; 2025.
46. Renewable energy integration with efficiency of smartgrid (RENEWIES) project report. Zenodo. 2025. doi:10.5281/zenodo.[record ID if known].
47. Districts-to-zone-to-city to building-to-neighborhood-to-cities: big data driven architecture enabling a five-category centric framework for smart, sustainable, scalable and reliable IoT city services. IEEE Xplore. 2025.
48. Farrar CR, Worden K. Structural health monitoring: a machine learning perspective. Chichester: Wiley; 2013.
49. Worden K, *et al.* The fundamental axioms of structural health monitoring. Proc R Soc A. 2007;463(2082):1639-1664.
50. Intelligent edge computing and machine learning: a survey of optimization and applications. Zenodo. 2025.

How to Cite This Article

Romano GF. Computational intelligence and modern engineering applications: simulation, data-driven design, and smart infrastructure development. International Journal of Engineering and Computational Applications. 2026;2(1):17–23.

Creative Commons (CC) License

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.